arXiv:1408.2180v2 [math.RA] 23 Aug 2014

The end-parameters of a Leonard pair

Kazumasa Nomura

Abstract

Fix an algebraically closed field $\mathbb{F}$ and an integer $d \geq 3$. Let V be a vector space over $\mathbb{F}$ with dimension $d+1$. A Leonard pair on V is a pair of diagonalizable linear transformations $A : V \to V$ and $A^* : V \to V$, each acting in an irreducible tridiagonal fashion on an eigenbasis for the other one. There is an object related to a Leonard pair called a Leonard system. It is known that a Leonard system is determined up to isomorphism by a sequence of scalars $(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d, \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d, \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d, \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d)$, called its parameter array. The scalars $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$ (resp. $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$) are mutually distinct, and the expressions $(\theta_{i-2}-\theta_{i+1})/(\theta_{i-1}-\theta_i)$, $(\theta_{i-2}^*-\theta_{i+1}^*)/(\theta_{i-1}^*-\theta_i^*)$ are equal and independent of i for $2 \leq i \leq d-1$. Write this common value as $\beta+1$. In the present paper, we consider the "end-parameters" θ_0, θ_d, θ_0^*, θ_d^*, φ_1, φ_d, ϕ_1, ϕ_d of the parameter array. We show that a Leonard system is determined up to isomorphism by the end-parameters and β. We display a relation between the end-parameters and β. Using this relation, we show that there are up to isomorphism at most $\lfloor (d-1)/2 \rfloor$ Leonard systems that have specified end-parameters. The upper bound $\lfloor (d-1)/2 \rfloor$ is best possible.

1 Introduction

Throughout the paper $\mathbb{F}$ denotes an algebraically closed field.

We begin by recalling the notion of a Leonard pair. We use the following terms. A square matrix is said to be *tridiagonal* whenever each nonzero entry lies on either the diagonal, the subdiagonal, or the superdiagonal. A tridiagonal matrix is said to be *irreducible* whenever each entry on the subdiagonal is nonzero and each entry on the superdiagonal is nonzero.

Definition 1.1 (See [5, Definition 1.1].) Let V be a vector space over $\mathbb{F}$ with finite positive dimension. By a *Leonard pair on* V we mean an ordered pair of linear transformations $A : V \to V$ and $A^* : V \to V$ that satisfy (i) and (ii) below:

(i) There exists a basis for V with respect to which the matrix representing A is irreducible tridiagonal and the matrix representing A^* is diagonal.

(ii) There exists a basis for V with respect to which the matrix representing A^* is irreducible tridiagonal and the matrix representing A is diagonal.

Note 1.2 According to a common notational convention, A^* denotes the conjugate transpose of A. We are not using this convention. In a Leonard pair A, A^* the matrices A and A^* are arbitrary subject to the conditions (i) and (ii) above.

We refer the reader to [3, 5–8] for background on Leonard pairs.

For the rest of this section, fix an integer $d \geq 0$ and a vector space V over $\mathbb{F}$ with dimension $d+1$. Consider a Leonard pair A, A^* on V. By [5, Lemma 1.3] each of A, A^*

has mutually distinct $d+1$ eigenvalues. Let $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$ be an ordering of the eigenvalues of A, and let $\{V_i\}_{i=0}^d$ be the corresponding eigenspaces. For $0 \leq i \leq d$ define $E_i : V \to V$ such that $(E_i - I)V_i = 0$ and $E_iV_j = 0$ for $j \neq i$ $(0 \leq j \leq d)$. Here I denotes the identity. We call E_i the *primitive idempotent* of A associated with θ_i. The primitive idempotent E_i^* of A^* associated with θ_i^* is similarly defined. For $0 \leq i \leq d$ pick a nonzero $v_i \in V_i$. Note that $\{v_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is a basis for V. We say the ordering $\{E_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is *standard* whenever the basis $\{v_i\}_{i=0}^d$ satisfies Definition 1.1(ii). A standard ordering of the primitive idempotents of A^* is similarly defined. For a standard ordering $\{E_i\}_{i=0}^d$, the ordering $\{E_{d-i}\}_{i=0}^d$ is also standard and no further ordering is standard. Similar result applies to a standard ordering of the primitive idempotents of A^*.

Definition 1.3 (See [5, Definition 1.4].) By a *Leonard system* on V we mean a sequence

$$\Phi = (A, \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d, A^*, \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d), \tag{1}$$

where A, A^* is a Leonard pair on V, and $\{E_i\}_{i=0}^d$ (resp. $\{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$) is a standard ordering of the primitive idempotents of A (resp. A^*). We say Φ *is over* $\mathbb{F}$. We call d the *diameter* of Φ.

We recall the notion of an isomorphism of Leonard systems. Consider a Leonard system (1) on V and a Leonard system $\Phi' = (A', \{E_i'\}_{i=0}^d, A^{*\prime}, \{E_i^{*\prime}\}_{i=0}^d)$ on a vector space V' with dimension $d+1$. By an *isomorphism of Leonard systems from* Φ *to* Φ' we mean a linear bijection $\sigma : V \to V$ such that $\sigma A = A'\sigma$, $\sigma A^* = A^{*\prime}\sigma$, and $\sigma E_i = E_i'\sigma$, $\sigma E_i^* = E^{*\prime}\sigma$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. Leonard systems Φ and Φ' are said to be *isomorphic* whenever there exists an isomorphism of Leonard systems from Φ to Φ'.

For a Leonard system (1) over $\mathbb{F}$, each of the following is a Leonard system over $\mathbb{F}$:

$$\Phi^* := (A^*, \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d, A, \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d),$$
$$\Phi^{\downarrow} := (A, \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d, A^*, \{E_{d-i}^*\}_{i=0}^d),$$
$$\Phi^{\Downarrow} := (A, \{E_{d-i}\}_{i=0}^d, A^*, \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d).$$

Viewing $*$, $\downarrow$, $\Downarrow$ as permutations on the set of all the Leonard systems,

$$*^2 = \downarrow^2 = \Downarrow^2 = 1, \qquad \Downarrow * = * \downarrow, \qquad \downarrow * = * \Downarrow, \qquad \downarrow\Downarrow = \Downarrow\downarrow . \tag{2}$$

The group generated by symbols $*$, $\downarrow$, $\Downarrow$ subject to the relations (2) is the dihedral group D_4. We recall D_4 is the group of symmetries of a square, and has 8 elements. For an element $g \in D_4$ and for an object f associated with Φ, let f^g denote the corresponding object associated with $\Phi^{g^{-1}}$.

We recall the notion of a parameter array.

Definition 1.4 (See [7, Section 2], [2, Theorem 4.6].) Consider a Leonard system (1) over $\mathbb{F}$. By the *parameter array of* Φ we mean the sequence

$$(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d, \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d, \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d, \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d), \tag{3}$$

where θ_i is the eigenvalue of A associated with E_i, θ_i^* is the eigenvalue of A^* associate with E_i^*, and

$$\varphi_i = (\theta_0^* - \theta_i^*)\frac{\mathrm{tr}(E_0^* \prod_{h=0}^{i-1}(A - \theta_h I))}{\mathrm{tr}(E_0^* \prod_{h=0}^{i-2}(A - \theta_h I))},$$
$$\phi_i = (\theta_0^* - \theta_i^*)\frac{\mathrm{tr}(E_0^* \prod_{h=0}^{i-1}(A - \theta_{d-h} I))}{\mathrm{tr}(E_0^* \prod_{h=0}^{i-2}(A - \theta_{d-h} I))},$$

where tr means trace. In the above expressions, the denominators are nonzero by [2, Corollary 4.5].

The following two results are fundamental in the theory of Leonard pairs.

Lemma 1.5 (See [5, Theorem 1.9].) *A Leonard system is determined up to isomorphism by its parameter array.*

Lemma 1.6 (See [5, Theorem 1.9].) *Consider a sequence* (3) *consisting of scalars taken from* $\mathbb{F}$. *Then there exists a Leonard system* Φ *over* $\mathbb{F}$ *with parameter array* (3) *if and only if* (i)–(v) *hold below:*

(i) $\theta_i \neq \theta_j, \quad \theta_i^* \neq \theta_j^* \qquad (0 \leq i < j \leq d)$.

(ii) $\varphi_i \neq 0, \quad \phi_i \neq 0 \qquad (1 \leq i \leq d)$.

(iii) $\varphi_i = \phi_1 \sum_{\ell=0}^{i-1} \frac{\theta_\ell - \theta_{d-\ell}}{\theta_0 - \theta_d} + (\theta_i^* - \theta_0^*)(\theta_{i-1} - \theta_d) \qquad (1 \leq i \leq d)$.

(iv) $\phi_i = \varphi_1 \sum_{\ell=0}^{i-1} \frac{\theta_\ell - \theta_{d-\ell}}{\theta_0 - \theta_d} + (\theta_i^* - \theta_0^*)(\theta_{d-i+1} - \theta_0) \qquad (1 \leq i \leq d)$.

(v) *The expressions*

$$\frac{\theta_{i-2} - \theta_{i+1}}{\theta_{i-1} - \theta_i}, \qquad \frac{\theta_{i-2}^* - \theta_{i+1}^*}{\theta_{i-1}^* - \theta_i^*} \tag{4}$$

are equal and independent of i *for* $2 \leq i \leq d-1$.

Definition 1.7 (See [7, Definition 1.1].) By a *parameter array over* $\mathbb{F}$ we mean a sequence (3) consisting of scalars taken from $\mathbb{F}$ that satisfy conditions (i)–(v) in Lemma 1.6.

Definition 1.8 Let Φ be a Leonard system over $\mathbb{F}$ with parameter array (3). By the *fundamental parameter* of Φ (or (3)) we mean one less than the common value of (4).

The D_4 action affects the parameter array as follows:

Lemma 1.9 (See [5, Theorem 1.11].) *Consider a Leonard system* (1) *over* $\mathbb{F}$ *with parameter array* (3). *Then for* $g \in \{\downarrow, \Downarrow, *\}$ *the parameters* θ_i^g, θ_i^{*g}, φ_i^g, ϕ_i^g *are as follows:*

g	θ_i^g	θ_i^{*g}	φ_i^g	ϕ_i^g
$\downarrow$	θ_i	θ_{d-i}^*	ϕ_{d-i+1}	φ_{d-i+1}
$\Downarrow$	θ_{d-i}	θ_i^*	ϕ_i	φ_i
$*$	θ_i^*	θ_i	φ_i	ϕ_{d-i+1}

For the rest of this section, we assume $d \geq 3$. The present paper is motivated by the following result:

Proposition 1.10 (See [5, Corollary 14.1].) *Consider a Leonard system* (1) *over* $\mathbb{F}$ *with parameter array* (3). *Then the isomorphism class of* Φ *is determined by a sequence of* 8 *parameters consisting of* θ_0, θ_1, θ_2, θ_0^*, θ_1^*, θ_2^*, *followed by one of* θ_3, θ_3^*, *followed by one of* φ_1, φ_d, ϕ_1, ϕ_d.

Referring to Proposition 1.10, observe that the set of the 8 parameters is not invariant under the D_4 action. Our concern is to find a D_4-invariant set of parameters that determines the isomorphism class of Leonard systems. In the present paper, we consider the *end-parameters*:

$$\theta_0, \quad \theta_d, \quad \theta_0^*, \quad \theta_d^*, \quad \varphi_1, \quad \varphi_d, \quad \phi_1, \quad \phi_d.$$

Apparently the set of the end-parameters is invariant under the D_4-action. Note that the fundamental parameter is D_4-invariant.

Theorem 1.11 *A Leonard system is determined up to isomorphism by its end-parameters and its fundamental parameter.*

The end-parameters are related to the fundamental parameter as follows:

Proposition 1.12 *Consider a parameter array* (3) *over* $\mathbb{F}$. *Let* β *be the fundamental parameter of* (3), *and pick a nonzero* $q \in \mathbb{F}$ *such that* $\beta = q + q^{-1}$. *Then the scalar*

$$\Omega = \frac{\phi_1 + \phi_d - \varphi_1 - \varphi_d}{(\theta_0 - \theta_d)(\theta_0^* - \theta_d^*)}.$$

is as follows:

Case			Ω
$\beta \neq 2$,	$\beta \neq -2$		$\dfrac{(q-1)(q^{d-1}+1)}{q^d - 1}$
$\beta = 2$,	$\mathrm{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$		$2/d$
$\beta = -2$,	$\mathrm{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$,	d is even	$2(d-1)/d$
$\beta = -2$,	$\mathrm{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$,	d is odd	2
$\beta = 0$,	$\mathrm{Char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$		0

Corollary 1.13 *With reference to Proposition 1.12,* $\Omega \neq 1$.

Theorem 1.14 *There exist up to isomorphism at most* $\lfloor (d-1)/2 \rfloor$ *Leonard systems with diameter* d *that have specified end-parameters.*

In Theorem 1.14, the upper bound $\lfloor (d-1)/2 \rfloor$ is best possible:

Theorem 1.15 *Assume* $\mathrm{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$ *and* d *does not vanish in* $\mathbb{F}$. *Then there exist mutually non-isomorphic* $\lfloor (d-1)/2 \rfloor$ *Leonard systems with diameter* d *that have common end-parameters.*

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall some formulas concerning the parameter array. In Section 3 we prove Theorem 1.11. In Section 4 we prove Proposition 1.12. In Section 5 we consider a certain polynomial which is used in the proof of Theorems 1.14 and 1.15. In Section 6 we prove Theorem 1.14. In Section 7 we try to construct a parameter array having specified end-parameters. In Section 8 we prove Theorem 1.15. In Appendix we display formulas that represent $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d$ and $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d$ in terms of the end-parameters and the fundamental parameter.

2 Parameter arrays in closed form

Fix an integer $d \geq 3$. Let (3) be a parameter array over $\mathbb{F}$ with fundamental parameter β. We consider the following types of the parameter array:

Type	Description		
I	$\beta \neq 2$,	$\beta \neq -2$	
II	$\beta = 2$,	$\mathrm{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$	
III$^+$	$\beta = -2$,	$\mathrm{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$,	d is even
III$^-$	$\beta = -2$,	$\mathrm{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$,	d is odd
IV	$\beta = 0$,	$\mathrm{Char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$	

For each type we display formulas that represent the parameter array in closed form.

Lemma 2.1 (See [4, Lemma 13.1].) *Assume the parameter array* (3) *has type I. Pick a nonzero* $q \in \mathbb{F}$ *such that* $\beta = q + q^{-1}$. *Then there exist scalars* η, h, μ, η^*, h^*, μ^*, τ *in* $\mathbb{F}$ *such that*

$$\theta_i = \eta + \mu q^i + h q^{d-i},$$
$$\theta_i^* = \eta^* + \mu^* q^i + h^* q^{d-i}$$

for $0 \leq i \leq d$, *and*

$$\varphi_i = (q^i - 1)(q^{d-i+1} - 1)(\tau - \mu\mu^* q^{i-1} - hh^* q^{d-i}),$$
$$\phi_i = (q^i - 1)(q^{d-i+1} - 1)(\tau - h\mu^* q^{i-1} - \mu h^* q^{d-i})$$

for $1 \leq i \leq d$.

Note 2.2 With reference to Lemma 2.1, for $1 \leq i \leq d$ we have $q^i \neq 1$; otherwise $\varphi_i = 0$.

Lemma 2.3 (See [4, Lemma 14.1].) *Assume the parameter array* (3) *has type II. Then there exist scalars* η, h, μ, η^*, h^*, μ^*, τ *in* $\mathbb{F}$ *such that*

$$\theta_i = \eta + \mu(i - d/2) + hi(d - i),$$
$$\theta_i^* = \eta^* + \mu^*(i - d/2) + h^* i(d - i)$$

for $0 \leq i \leq d$, *and*

$$\varphi_i = i(d - i + 1)(\tau - \mu\mu^*/2 + (h\mu^* + \mu h^*)(i - (d+1)/2) + hh^*(i-1)(d-i)),$$
$$\phi_i = i(d - i + 1)(\tau + \mu\mu^*/2 + (h\mu^* - \mu h^*)(i - (d+1)/2) + hh^*(i-1)(d-i))$$

for $1 \leq i \leq d$.

Note 2.4 With reference to Lemma 2.3, $\mathrm{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq i$ for any prime $i \leq d$; otherwise $\varphi_i = 0$.

Lemma 2.5 (See [4, Lemma 15.1].) *Assume the parameter array* (3) *has type III*$^+$. *Then there exist scalars* η, h, s, η^*, h^*, s^*, τ *in* $\mathbb{F}$ *such that*

$$\theta_i = \begin{cases} \eta + s + h(i - d/2) & \text{if } i \text{ is even}, \\ \eta - s - h(i - d/2) & \text{if } i \text{ is odd}, \end{cases}$$
$$\theta_i^* = \begin{cases} \eta^* + s^* + h^*(i - d/2) & \text{if } i \text{ is even}, \\ \eta^* - s^* - h^*(i - d/2) & \text{if } i \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

for $0 \leq i \leq d$, *and*

$$\varphi_i = \begin{cases} i\big(\tau - sh^* - s^*h - hh^*(i - (d+1)/2)\big) & \text{if } i \text{ is even}, \\ (d - i + 1)\big(\tau + sh^* + s^*h + hh^*(i - (d+1)/2)\big) & \text{if } i \text{ is odd}, \end{cases}$$
$$\phi_i = \begin{cases} i\big(\tau - sh^* + s^*h + hh^*(i - (d+1)/2)\big) & \text{if } i \text{ is even}, \\ (d - i + 1)\big(\tau + sh^* - s^*h - hh^*(i - (d+1)/2)\big) & \text{if } i \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq d$.

Note 2.6 With reference to Lemma 2.5, $\mathrm{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq i$ for any prime $i \leq d/2$; otherwise $\varphi_{2i} = 0$. By this and since $\mathrm{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$ we find $\mathrm{Char}(\mathbb{F})$ is either 0 or an odd prime greater than $d/2$. Observe that neither of d, $d-2$ vanish in $\mathbb{F}$; otherwise $\mathrm{Char}(\mathbb{F})$ must divide $d/2$ or $(d-2)/2$.

Lemma 2.7 (See [4, Lemma 16.1].) *Assume the parameter array* (3) *has type III$^-$. Then there exist scalars η, h, s, η^*, h^*, s^*, τ in $\mathbb{F}$ such that*

$$\theta_i = \begin{cases} \eta + s + h(i - d/2) & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \\ \eta - s - h(i - d/2) & \text{if } i \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

$$\theta_i^* = \begin{cases} \eta^* + s^* + h^*(i - d/2) & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \\ \eta^* - s^* - h^*(i - d/2) & \text{if } i \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

for $0 \leq i \leq d$, and

$$\varphi_i = \begin{cases} hh^* i(d - i + 1) & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \\ \tau - 2ss^* + i(d - i + 1)hh^* - 2(hs^* + h^* s)\big(i - (d+1)/2\big) & \text{if } i \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

$$\phi_i = \begin{cases} hh^* i(d - i + 1) & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \\ \tau + 2ss^* + i(d - i + 1)hh^* - 2(hs^* - h^* s)\big(i - (d+1)/2\big) & \text{if } i \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq d$.

Note 2.8 With reference to Lemma 2.7, $\mathrm{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq i$ for any prime $i \leq d/2$; otherwise $\varphi_{2i} = 0$. By this and since $\mathrm{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$ we find $\mathrm{Char}(\mathbb{F})$ is either 0 or an odd prime greater than $d/2$. Observe $d - 1$ does not vanish in $\mathbb{F}$; otherwise $\mathrm{Char}(\mathbb{F})$ must divide $(d-1)/2$.

Lemma 2.9 (See [4, Lemma 17.1].) *Assume the parameter array* (3) *has type IV. Then $d = 3$, and there exist scalars h, s, h^*, s^*, r in $\mathbb{F}$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} &\theta_1 = \theta_0 + h(s+1), && \theta_2 = \theta_0 + h, && \theta_3 = \theta_0 + hs, \\ &\theta_1^* = \theta_0^* + h^*(s^*+1), && \theta_2^* = \theta_0^* + h^*, && \theta_3^* = \theta_0^* + h^* s^*, \\ &\varphi_1 = hh^* r, && \varphi_2 = hh^*, && \varphi_3 = hh^*(r + s + s^*), \\ &\phi_1 = hh^*(r + s + ss^*), && \phi_2 = hh^*, && \phi_3 = hh^*(r + s^* + ss^*). \end{aligned}$$

We mention a lemma for later use. Pick a nonzero $q \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $\beta = q + q^{-1}$.

Lemma 2.10 (See [5, Lemma 10.2].) *The following hold:*

(i) *Assume the parameter array* (3) *has type I. Then for* $1 \leq i \leq d$

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{i-1} \frac{\theta_\ell - \theta_{d-\ell}}{\theta_0 - \theta_d} = \frac{(q^i - 1)(q^{d-i+1} - 1)}{(q-1)(q^d - 1)}.$$

(ii) *Assume the parameter array* (3) *has type II. Then for* $1 \leq i \leq d$

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{i-1} \frac{\theta_\ell - \theta_{d-\ell}}{\theta_0 - \theta_d} = \frac{i(d-i+1)}{d}.$$

(iii) *Assume the parameter array* (3) *has type* III^+. *Then for* $1 \leq i \leq d$

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{i-1} \frac{\theta_\ell - \theta_{d-\ell}}{\theta_0 - \theta_d} = \begin{cases} i/d & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \\ (d-i+1)/d & \text{if } i \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

(iv) *Assume the parameter array* (3) *has type* III^-. *Then for* $1 \leq i \leq d$

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{i-1} \frac{\theta_\ell - \theta_{d-\ell}}{\theta_0 - \theta_d} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \\ 1 & \text{if } i \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

(iii) *Assume the parameter array* (3) *has type IV. Then for* $1 \leq i \leq d$

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{i-1} \frac{\theta_\ell - \theta_{d-\ell}}{\theta_0 - \theta_d} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \\ 1 & \text{if } i \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

3 Proof of Theorem 1.11

In this section we prove Theorem 1.11. Fix an integer $d \geq 3$. Let (3) be a parameter array over $\mathbb{F}$ with fundamental parameter β. Pick a nonzero $q \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $\beta = q + q^{-1}$. In the following five lemmas, we display formulas that represent $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$ and $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$ in terms of the end-parameters and q. These formulas can be routinely verified using Lemmas 2.1, 2.3, 2.5, 2.7, 2.9.

Lemma 3.1 *Assume the parameter array* (3) *has type I. Then for* $0 \leq i \leq d$

$$\theta_i = \theta_0 - \frac{(q^i - 1)(q^{2d-i-1} - 1)(\theta_0 - \theta_d)}{(q^{d-1} - 1)(q^d - 1)} + \frac{(q^i - 1)(q^{d-i} - 1)(\phi_1 - \varphi_d)}{(q-1)(q^{d-1} - 1)(\theta_0^* - \theta_d^*)},$$

$$\theta_i^* = \theta_0^* - \frac{(q^i - 1)(q^{2d-i-1} - 1)(\theta_0^* - \theta_d^*)}{(q^{d-1} - 1)(q^d - 1)} + \frac{(q^i - 1)(q^{d-i} - 1)(\phi_d - \varphi_d)}{(q-1)(q^{d-1} - 1)(\theta_0 - \theta_d)}.$$

Lemma 3.2 *Assume the parameter* (3) *array has type II. Then for* $0 \leq i \leq d$

$$\theta_i = \theta_0 - \frac{i(2d-i-1)(\theta_0-\theta_d)}{d(d-1)} + \frac{i(d-i)(\phi_1-\varphi_d)}{(d-1)(\theta_0^*-\theta_d^*)},$$
$$\theta_i^* = \theta_0^* - \frac{i(2d-i-1)(\theta_0^*-\theta_d^*)}{d(d-1)} + \frac{i(d-i)(\phi_d-\varphi_d)}{(d-1)(\theta_0-\theta_d)}.$$

Lemma 3.3 *Assume the parameter array* (3) *has type III*$^+$. *Then for* $0 \leq i \leq d$

$$\theta_i = \begin{cases} \theta_0 - \dfrac{i(\theta_0-\theta_d)}{d} & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \\ \theta_0 - \dfrac{(2d-i-1)(\theta_0-\theta_d)}{d} + \dfrac{\phi_1-\varphi_d}{\theta_0^*-\theta_d^*} & \text{if } i \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$
$$\theta_i^* = \begin{cases} \theta_0^* - \dfrac{i(\theta_0^*-\theta_d^*)}{d} & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \\ \theta_0^* - \dfrac{(2d-i-1)(\theta_0^*-\theta_d^*)}{d} + \dfrac{\phi_d-\varphi_d}{\theta_0-\theta_d} & \text{if } i \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3.4 *Assume the parameter array* (3) *has type III*$^-$. *Then for* $0 \leq i \leq d$

$$\theta_i = \begin{cases} \theta_0 - \dfrac{i(\theta_0-\theta_d)}{d-1} + \dfrac{i(\phi_1-\varphi_d)}{(d-1)(\theta_0^*-\theta_d^*)} & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \\ \theta_0 - \dfrac{(2d-i-1)(\theta_0-\theta_d)}{d-1} + \dfrac{(d-i)(\phi_1-\varphi_d)}{(d-1)(\theta_0^*-\theta_d^*)} & \text{if } i \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$
$$\theta_i^* = \begin{cases} \theta_0^* - \dfrac{i(\theta_0^*-\theta_d^*)}{d-1} + \dfrac{i(\phi_d-\varphi_d)}{(d-1)(\theta_0-\theta_d)} & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \\ \theta_0^* - \dfrac{(2d-i-1)(\theta_0^*-\theta_d^*)}{d-1} + \dfrac{(d-i)(\phi_d-\varphi_d)}{(d-1)(\theta_0-\theta_d)} & \text{if } i \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3.5 *Assume the parameter* (3) *array has type IV. Then*

$$\theta_1 = \theta_0 + \frac{\phi_1-\varphi_3}{\theta_0^*-\theta_3^*}, \qquad \theta_2 = \theta_3 + \frac{\phi_1-\varphi_3}{\theta_0^*-\theta_3^*},$$
$$\theta_1^* = \theta_0^* + \frac{\phi_3-\varphi_3}{\theta_0-\theta_3}, \qquad \theta_2^* = \theta_3^* + \frac{\phi_3-\varphi_3}{\theta_0-\theta_3}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.11. By Lemmas 3.1–3.5 the scalars $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$, $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$ are determined by the end-parameters and q. By this and Lemma 1.6(iii), (iv) the scalars $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d$, $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d$ are determined by the end-parameters and q. The result follows from these comments and Lemma 1.5. □

4 Proof of Proposition 1.12

In this section we prove Proposition 1.12. Fix an integer $d \geq 3$.

Proof of Proposition 1.12. First assume the parameter array has type I. By Lemma 2.1,

$$\theta_0 = \eta + \mu + hq^d, \qquad \theta_d = \eta + \mu q^d + h,$$
$$\theta_0^* = \eta^* + \mu^* + h^* q^d, \qquad \theta_d^* = \eta^* + \mu^* q^d + h^*,$$

and

$$\varphi_1 = (q-1)(q^d-1)(\tau - \mu\mu^* - hh^* q^{d-1}),$$
$$\varphi_d = (q^d-1)(q-1)(\tau - \mu\mu^* q^{d-1} - hh^*),$$
$$\phi_1 = (q-1)(q^d-1)(\tau - h\mu^* - \mu h^* q^{d-1}),$$
$$\phi_d = (q^d-1)(q-1)(\tau - h\mu^* q^{d-1} - \mu h^*).$$

So,

$$(\theta_0 - \theta_d)(\theta_0^* - \theta_d^*) = (q^d-1)^2(\mu - h)(\mu^* - h^*),$$
$$\phi_1 + \phi_d - \varphi_1 - \varphi_d = (q-1)(q^d-1)(q^{d-1}+1)(\mu\mu^* + hh^* - h\mu^* - \mu h^*).$$

Thus

$$\frac{\phi_1 + \phi_d - \varphi_1 - \varphi_d}{(\theta_0 - \theta_d)(\theta_0^* - \theta_d^*)} = \frac{(q-1)(q^{d-1}+1)}{q^d - 1}.$$

We have shown the result for type I. The proof is similar for the remaining types. □

5 A polynomial

In this section we consider a polynomial which will be used in our proof of Theorems 1.14 and 1.15. This polynomial is related to Proposition 1.12 for type I. Fix an integer $d \geq 3$.

Definition 5.1 For $\omega \in \mathbb{F}$ we define a polynomial in x:

$$f_\omega(x) = \omega(x^d - 1) - (x-1)(x^{d-1} + 1).$$

Lemma 5.2 *For $\omega \in \mathbb{F}$ the following hold:*

(i) $f_\omega(1) = 0$.

(ii) *Assume $\omega \neq 1$. Then $f_\omega(x)$ has degree d and $f_\omega(0) \neq 0$.*

(iii) *Assume d is even. Then $f_\omega(-1) = 0$.*

(iv) *Assume* $\mathrm{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$, *$d$ is odd, and $\omega \neq 2$. Then $f_\omega(-1) \neq 0$.*

(v) *For $0 \neq q \in \mathbb{F}$, if $f_\omega(q) = 0$ then $f_\omega(q^{-1}) = 0$.*

Proof. Routine verification. □

Lemma 5.3 *For $\omega \in \mathbb{F}$ the following hold:*

(i) *We have $f_\omega(x) = (x-1)g_\omega(x)$, where*

$$g_\omega(x) = \omega \sum_{r=0}^{d-1} x^r - x^{d-1} - 1.$$

(ii) *Assume d is even. Then $f_\omega(x) = (x-1)(x+1)g_\omega(x)$, where*

$$g_\omega(x) = \omega \sum_{r=0}^{(d-2)/2} x^{2r} - \sum_{r=0}^{d-2} (-1)^r x^r.$$

(iii) *Assume d is even and d does not vanish in $\mathbb{F}$. Then for $\omega = 2/d$ we have $f_\omega(x) = -(2/d)(x-1)^3(x+1)g(x)$, where*

$$g(x) = \sum_{r=0}^{(d-4)/2} (r+1)(d/2-r-1)x^{2r} + \sum_{r=1}^{(d-4)/2} r(d/2-r-1)x^{2r-1}.$$

(iv) *Assume d is odd and d does not vanish in $\mathbb{F}$. Then for $\omega = 2/d$ we have $f_\omega(x) = -(1/d)(x-1)^3 g(x)$, where*

$$g(x) = \sum_{r=0}^{d-3} (r+1)(d-r-2)x^r.$$

(v) *Assume d is even and d does not vanish in $\mathbb{F}$. Then for $\omega = 2(d-1)/d$ we have $f_\omega(x) = (2/d)(x-1)(x+1)^3 g(x)$, where*

$$g(x) = \sum_{r=0}^{(d-4)/2} (r+1)(d/2-r-1)x^{2r} - \sum_{r=1}^{(d-4)/2} r(d/2-r-1)x^{2r-1}.$$

(vi) *Assume d is odd. Then for $\omega = 2$ we have $f_\omega(x) = (x-1)(x+1)^2 g(x)$, where*

$$g(x) = \sum_{r=0}^{(d-3)/2} x^{2r}.$$

Proof. Routine verification. □

Lemma 5.4 *For $\omega \in \mathbb{F}$ consider the equation $f_\omega(x) = 0$.*

(i) *Assume d is odd. Then there are at most $d-1$ roots of $f_\omega(x) = 0$ other than ± 1.*

(ii) *Assume d is even. Then there are at most $d-2$ roots of $f_\omega(x) = 0$ other than ± 1.*

(iii) *Assume d is even and d does not vanish in $\mathbb{F}$. Then for $\omega = 2/d$ there are at most $d-4$ roots of $f_\omega(x) = 0$ other than ± 1.*

(iv) *Assume d is odd and d does not vanish in $\mathbb{F}$. Then for $\omega = 2/d$ there are at most $d-3$ roots of $f_\omega(x) = 0$ other than ± 1.*

(v) *Assume d is even and d does not vanish in $\mathbb{F}$. Then for $\omega = 2(d-1)/d$ there are at most $d-4$ roots of $f_\omega(x) = 0$ other than ± 1.*

(vi) *Assume d is odd and $\omega = 2$. Then there are at most $d-3$ roots of $f_\omega(x) = 0$ other than ± 1.*

Proof. Immediate from Lemma 5.3. □

Lemma 5.5 *Assume d does not vanish in $\mathbb{F}$. Then the equation $f_\omega(x) = 0$ has a repeated root for at most d values of ω.*

Proof. If the equation $f_\omega(x) = 0$ has a repeated root q, then both $f_\omega(q) = 0$ and $f'_\omega(q) = 0$, where f'_ω is the derivative of f_ω. The equations $f_\omega(x) = 0$ and $f'_\omega(x) = 0$ have a common root if and only if the resultant of $f_\omega(x)$ and $f'_\omega(x)$ is zero (see [1, Chap. IV.8]). The resultant of $f_\omega(x)$ and $f'_\omega(x)$ is the determinant of the following matrix (we display the matrix for $d = 5$):

$$M_\omega = \begin{pmatrix} \omega-1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1-\omega & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega-1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1-\omega & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega-1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1-\omega & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega-1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1-\omega \\ 5(\omega-1) & 4 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 5(\omega-1) & 4 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 5(\omega-1) & 4 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 5(\omega-1) & 4 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 5(\omega-1) & 4 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

First assume d is odd. Then

$$\det(M_\omega) = (\omega-1)\,(\omega-2)\,(d\omega-2)^3\,\psi_1(\omega)^2,$$

where $\psi_1(x)$ is a polynomial in x with leading term $d^{(d-3)/2}x^{d-3}$. Thus there are at most d values of ω such that $\det(M_\omega) = 0$. Next assume d is even. Then

$$\det(M_\omega) = (1-\omega)\,(d\omega-2)^3\,(d\omega-2(d-1))^3\,\psi_2(\omega)^2,$$

where $\psi_2(x)$ is a polynomials in x with leading term $d^{(d-6)/2}x^{d-4}$. Thus there are at most $d-1$ values of ω such that $\det(M_\omega) = 0$. The result follows. □

Lemma 5.6 *For $3 \leq r \leq d$ let Γ_r denote the set consisting of the rth roots of unity other than ± 1:*

$$\Gamma_r = \{q \in \mathbb{F} \,|\, q^r = 1,\ q^2 \neq 1\}.$$

Let Γ be the union of Γ_r for $3 \leq r \leq d$. Then there exist infinitely many $\omega \in \mathbb{F}$ such that the equation $f_\omega(x) = 0$ has no roots in Γ.

Proof. We claim that for any $\omega \in \mathbb{F}$ the equation $f_\omega(x) = 0$ has no roots in Γ_d. Suppose $f_\omega(q) = 0$ for some $q \in \Gamma_d$. Then $0 = f_\omega(q) = q^{d-1} - q$, so $q^{d-2} = 1$. By this and $q^d = 1$ we must have $q^2 = 1$, a contradiction. Thus the claim holds. For $q \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_d$ define

$$\omega_q = \frac{(q-1)(q^{d-1}+1)}{q^d - 1},$$

and consider the set

$$\Delta = \{\omega_q \,|\, q \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_d\}.$$

Note that $\mathbb{F} \setminus \Delta$ has infinitely many elements, since $\mathbb{F}$ is infinite and Δ is finite. For $\omega \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \Delta$, the equation $f_\omega(x) = 0$ has no roots in $\Gamma \setminus \Gamma_d$. By this and the above claim, the equation $f_\omega(x) = 0$ has no roots in Γ. The result follows. □

Corollary 5.7 *Assume d does not vanish in $\mathbb{F}$. Then there exist infinitely many $\omega \in \mathbb{F}$ that satisfy both* (i) *and* (ii) *below:*

(i) *The equation $f_\omega(x) = 0$ has no repeated roots.*

(ii) *The equation $f_\omega(x) = 0$ has no roots in Γ, where Γ is from Lemma 5.6.*

Proof. Follows from Lemmas 5.5 and 5.6. □

Lemma 5.8 *Let $\omega \in \mathbb{F}$ with $\omega \neq 1$, $\omega \neq 2$. Assume that the equation $f_\omega(x) = 0$ has no repeated roots.*

(i) *Assume* $\mathrm{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$ *and d is odd. Then the equation $f_\omega(x) = 0$ has mutually distinct $d-1$ nonzero roots other than ± 1.*

(ii) *Assume d is even. Then the equation $f_\omega(x) = 0$ has mutually distinct $d-2$ nonzero roots other than ± 1.*

Proof. We claim that the equation $f_\omega(x) = 0$ has mutually distinct d nonzero roots. By Lemma 5.2(ii) and since $\omega \neq 1$, the polynomial $f_\omega(x)$ has degree d and $f_\omega(0) \neq 0$. Now the claim holds by this and since $f_\omega(x) = 0$ has no repeated roots.

(i): By Lemma 5.2(i) $f_\omega(1) = 0$. We have $f_\omega(-1) \neq 0$ by Lemma 5.2(iv) and since $\omega \neq 2$, $\mathrm{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$. By these comments and the claim, the equation $f_\omega(x) = 0$ has mutually distinct $d-1$ nonzero roots other than ± 1.

(ii): By Lemma 5.2(i), (iii) each of $1, -1$ is a root of $f_\omega(x) = 0$. By this and the claim, the equation $f_\omega(x) = 0$ has mutually distinct $d-2$ nonzero roots other than ± 1. □

6 Proof of Theorem 1.14

Proof of Theorem 1.14. Suppose we are given a parameter array over $\mathbb{F}$:

$$(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d, \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d, \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d, \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d).$$

Let $\tilde{P}$ denote the set of parameter arrays

$$\tilde{p} = (\{\tilde{\theta}_i\}_{i=0}^d, \{\tilde{\theta}_i^*\}_{i=0}^d, \{\tilde{\varphi}_i\}_{i=1}^d, \{\tilde{\phi}_i\}_{i=1}^d)$$

over $\mathbb{F}$ that satisfy

$$\begin{array}{llll} \tilde{\theta}_0 = \theta_0, & \tilde{\theta}_d = \theta_d, & \tilde{\theta}_0^* = \theta_0^*, & \tilde{\theta}_d^* = \theta_d^*, \\ \tilde{\varphi}_1 = \varphi_1, & \tilde{\varphi}_d = \varphi_d, & \tilde{\phi}_1 = \phi_1, & \tilde{\phi}_d = \phi_d. \end{array}$$

We count the number of elements of $\tilde{P}$. By Theorem 1.11 a parameter array in $\tilde{P}$ is determined by its fundamental parameter. Let $\tilde{Q}$ denote the set of nonzero $\tilde{q} \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $\tilde{q} + \tilde{q}^{-1}$ is the fundamental parameter for some $\tilde{p} \in \tilde{P}$. Note that $\tilde{q}$ is determined up to inverse by the fundamental parameter. So we count the number of elements of $\tilde{Q}$ up to inverse. Define

$$\Omega = \frac{\phi_1 + \phi_d - \varphi_1 - \varphi_d}{(\theta_0 - \theta_d)(\theta_0^* - \theta_d^*)}.$$

By Proposition 1.12, for $\tilde{p} \in \tilde{P}$ we obtain the equation:

Type of $\tilde{p}$	Equation
I	$\dfrac{(\tilde{q}-1)(\tilde{q}^{d-1}+1)}{\tilde{q}^d - 1} = \Omega$
II	$2/d = \Omega$
III$^+$	$2(d-1)/d = \Omega$
III$^-$	$2 = \Omega$
IV	$0 = \Omega$

(5)

where $\tilde{q} + \tilde{q}^{-1}$ is the fundamental parameter of $\tilde{p}$.

We claim that at least one of 1, -1 is not contained $\tilde{Q}$ when $\mathrm{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$. By way of contradiction, assume $\mathrm{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$ and $\{1, -1\} \subseteq \tilde{Q}$. Then there is a $\tilde{p}_1 \in \tilde{P}$ (resp. $\tilde{p}_2 \in \tilde{P}$) that has fundamental parameter 2 (resp. -2). Note that $\tilde{p}_1$ has type II and $\tilde{p}_2$ has type III$^+$ or III$^-$. So by (5) $d\,\Omega = 2$, and either $d\,\Omega = 2(d-1)$ or $\Omega = 2$. If $d\,\Omega = 2$ and $d\,\Omega = 2(d-1)$, then $d-2$ vanishes in $\mathbb{F}$. If $d\,\Omega = 2$ and $\Omega = 2$, then $d-1$ vanishes in $\mathbb{F}$. But, by Note 2.4, neither of $d-1$, $d-2$ vanishes in $\mathbb{F}$, a contradiction. We have shown the claim. Now we count the number of elements of $\tilde{Q}$ up to inverse. Note that $\Omega \neq 1$ by Corollary 1.13. First assume $\Omega \neq 2$, $d\,\Omega \neq 2$, and $d\,\Omega \neq 2(d-1)$. By Lemma 5.4(i), (ii) there are up to inverse at most $\lfloor (d-1)/2 \rfloor$ elements of $\tilde{Q}$. Next assume d is even and $d\,\Omega = 2$. By Lemma 5.4(iii) there are up to inverse at most $(d-4)/2$ elements of $\tilde{Q}$ other

than ± 1. Next assume d is odd and $d\Omega = 2$. By Lemma 5.4(iv) there are up to inverse at most $(d-3)/2$ elements of $\tilde{Q}$ other than ± 1. Next assume d is even and $d\Omega = 2(d-1)$. By Lemma 5.4(v) there are up to inverse at most $(d-4)/2$ elements of $\tilde{Q}$ other than ± 1. Next assume d is odd and $\Omega = 2$. By Lemma 5.4(vi) there are up to inverse at most $(d-3)/2$ elements of $\tilde{Q}$ other than ± 1. By these comments and the claim, there are up to inverse at most $\lfloor (d-1)/2 \rfloor$ elements of $\tilde{Q}$. The result follows. □

7 How to construct a parameter array having specified end-parameters

In this section we try to construct a parameter array having specified end-parameters. To simplify our description, we restrict our attention to type I; we can proceed in a similar way for the other types. Fix an integer $d \geq 3$, and pick scalars

$$\theta_0, \quad \theta_d, \quad \theta_0^*, \quad \theta_d^*, \quad \varphi_1, \quad \varphi_d, \quad \phi_1, \quad \phi_d$$

in $\mathbb{F}$ such that $\theta_0 \neq \theta_d$ and $\theta_0^* \neq \theta_d^*$. We will try to construct a parameter array

$$(\{\tilde{\theta}_i\}_{i=0}^d, \{\tilde{\theta}_i^*\}_{i=0}^d, \{\tilde{\varphi}_i\}_{i=1}^d, \{\tilde{\phi}_i\}_{i=1}^d)$$

that satisfies

$$\begin{array}{llll} \tilde{\theta}_0 = \theta_0, & \tilde{\theta}_d = \theta_d, & \tilde{\theta}_0^* = \theta_0^*, & \tilde{\theta}_d^* = \theta_d^*, \\ \tilde{\varphi}_1 = \varphi_1, & \tilde{\varphi}_d = \varphi_d, & \tilde{\phi}_1 = \phi_1, & \tilde{\phi}_d = \phi_d. \end{array} \tag{6}$$

Define

$$\Omega = \frac{\phi_1 + \phi_d - \varphi_1 - \varphi_d}{(\theta_0 - \theta_d)(\theta_0^* - \theta_d^*)}.$$

In view of Note 2.2 and Proposition 1.12, we assume there exists a nonzero $q \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $q^i \neq 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$, and

$$\Omega = \frac{(q-1)(q^{d-1}+1)}{q^d - 1}. \tag{7}$$

In view of Lemma 3.1, we define scalars $\{\tilde{\theta}_i\}_{i=0}^d$, $\{\tilde{\theta}_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$ as follows.

Definition 7.1 For $0 \leq i \leq d$ define

$$\tilde{\theta}_i = \theta_0 - \frac{(q^i-1)(q^{2d-i-1}-1)(\theta_0 - \theta_d)}{(q^{d-1}-1)(q^d-1)} + \frac{(q^i-1)(q^{d-i}-1)(\phi_1 - \varphi_d)}{(q-1)(q^{d-1}-1)(\theta_0^* - \theta_d^*)},$$

$$\tilde{\theta}_i^* = \theta_0^* - \frac{(q^i-1)(q^{2d-i-1}-1)(\theta_0^* - \theta_d^*)}{(q^{d-1}-1)(q^d-1)} + \frac{(q^i-1)(q^{d-i}-1)(\phi_d - \varphi_d)}{(q-1)(q^{d-1}-1)(\theta_0 - \theta_d)}.$$

The following two lemmas can be routinely verified.

Lemma 7.2 *With reference to Definition 7.1,*

$$\tilde{\theta}_0 = \theta_0, \qquad \tilde{\theta}_d = \theta_d, \qquad \tilde{\theta}_0^* = \theta_0^*, \qquad \tilde{\theta}_d^* = \theta_d^*.$$

Lemma 7.3 *Assume $\tilde{\theta}_i \neq \tilde{\theta}_j$, $\tilde{\theta}^*_i \neq \tilde{\theta}^*_j$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq d$. Then each of the expressions*

$$\frac{\tilde{\theta}_{i-2} - \tilde{\theta}_{i+1}}{\tilde{\theta}_{i-1} - \tilde{\theta}_i}, \qquad \frac{\tilde{\theta}^*_{i-2} - \tilde{\theta}^*_{i+1}}{\tilde{\theta}^*_{i-1} - \tilde{\theta}^*_i}$$

is equal to $q + q^{-1} + 1$ for $2 \leq i \leq d-1$.

In view of Lemma 2.10(i) we define scalars $\{\vartheta_i\}_{i=1}^d$ as follows.

Definition 7.4 For $1 \leq i \leq d$ define

$$\vartheta_i = \frac{(q^i - 1)(q^{d-i+1} - 1)}{(q-1)(q^d - 1)}.$$

In view of Lemma 1.6(iii), (iv), we define scalars $\{\tilde{\varphi}_i\}_{i=1}^d$, $\{\tilde{\phi}_i\}_{i=1}^d$ as follows.

Definition 7.5 For $1 \leq i \leq d$ define

$$\tilde{\varphi}_i = \phi_1 \tilde{\vartheta}_i + (\tilde{\theta}^*_i - \tilde{\theta}^*_0)(\tilde{\theta}_{i-1} - \tilde{\theta}_d),$$
$$\tilde{\phi}_i = \varphi_1 \tilde{\vartheta}_i + (\tilde{\theta}^*_i - \tilde{\theta}^*_0)(\tilde{\theta}_{d-i+1} - \tilde{\theta}_0).$$

Lemma 7.6 *With reference to Definition 7.5,*

$$\tilde{\varphi}_1 = \varphi_d, \qquad \tilde{\varphi}_d = \varphi_d, \qquad \tilde{\phi}_1 = \phi_1, \qquad \tilde{\phi}_d = \phi_d.$$

Proof. One routinely checks that

$$\tilde{\varphi}_1 = \phi_1 + \phi_d - \varphi_d - \frac{(q-1)(q^{d-1}+1)(\theta_0 - \theta_d)(\theta^*_0 - \theta^*_d)}{q^d - 1},$$
$$\tilde{\varphi}_d = \varphi_d,$$
$$\tilde{\phi}_1 = \varphi_1 + \varphi_d - \phi_d + \frac{(q-1)(q^{d-1}+1)(\theta_0 - \theta_d)(\theta^*_0 - \theta^*_d)}{q^d - 1},$$
$$\tilde{\phi}_d = \varphi_1 + \varphi_d - \phi_1 + \frac{(q-1)(q^{d-1}+1)(\theta_0 - \theta_d)(\theta^*_0 - \theta^*_d)}{q^d - 1}.$$

Now the result follows from (7). □

Proposition 7.7 *The sequence $\tilde{p} = (\{\tilde{\theta}_i\}_{i=0}^d, \{\tilde{\theta}^*_i\}_{i=0}^d, \{\tilde{\varphi}_i\}_{i=1}^d, \{\tilde{\phi}_i\}_{i=1}^d)$ is a parameter array over $\mathbb{F}$ if and only if*

$$\tilde{\theta}_i \neq \tilde{\theta}_j, \qquad \tilde{\theta}^*_i \neq \tilde{\theta}^*_j \qquad (0 \leq i < j \leq d), \tag{8}$$
$$\tilde{\varphi}_i \neq 0, \qquad \tilde{\phi}_i \neq 0 \qquad (1 \leq i \leq d). \tag{9}$$

In this case, the parameter array $\tilde{p}$ satisfies (6).

Proof. The first assertion follows from Definition 1.7, Lemma 7.3, and Definition 7.5. The second assertion follows from Lemmas 7.2 and 7.6. □

8 Proof of Theorem 1.15

In this section we prove Theorem 1.15. Fix an integer $d \geq 3$. Assume $\mathrm{Char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$ and d does not vanish in $\mathbb{F}$. Recall the polynomial $f_\omega(x)$ from Definition 5.1.

By Corollary 5.7 there exists $\omega \in \mathbb{F}$ such that

- $\omega \neq 1,\ \omega \neq 2$;
- the equation $f_\omega(x) = 0$ has no repeated roots;
- the equation $f_\omega(x) = 0$ has no roots in Γ, where Γ is from Lemma 5.6.

Fix $\omega \in \mathbb{F}$ that satisfies the above conditions.

By Lemma 5.8 there are up to inverse precisely $\lfloor (d-1)/2 \rfloor$ nonzero roots of $f_\omega(x) = 0$ other than ± 1. For such a root q and for $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}$, we construct a sequence $\tilde{p}(q,\zeta)$ as follows. Note that $q^i \neq 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$ by the construction. Define scalars

$$\begin{aligned} &\theta_0 = 0, \qquad &&\theta_d = 1, \qquad &&\theta_0^* = 0, \qquad &&\theta_d^* = 1, \\ &\varphi_1 = 1, \qquad &&\varphi_d = -1, \qquad &&\phi_1 = \zeta, \qquad &&\phi_d = \omega - \zeta. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\omega = \frac{\phi_1 + \phi_d - \varphi_1 - \varphi_d}{(\theta_0 - \theta_d)(\theta_0^* - \theta_d^*)}.$$

For $0 \leq i \leq d$ define scalars $\tilde{\theta}_i = \tilde{\theta}_i(q,\zeta)$ and $\tilde{\theta}_i^* = \tilde{\theta}_i^*(q,\zeta)$ as in Definition 7.1. For $1 \leq i \leq d$ define scalars $\tilde{\varphi}_i = \tilde{\varphi}_i(q,\zeta)$ and $\tilde{\phi}_i = \tilde{\phi}_i(q,\zeta)$ as in Definition 7.5. We have constructed a sequence

$$\tilde{p}(q,\zeta) = (\{\tilde{\theta}_i(q,\zeta)\}_{i=0}^d, \{\tilde{\theta}_i^*(q,\zeta)\}_{i=0}^d, \{\tilde{\varphi}_i(q,\zeta)\}_{i=1}^d, \{\tilde{\phi}_i(q,\zeta)\}_{i=1}^d).$$

The following two lemmas can be routinely verified.

Lemma 8.1 *For $0 \leq i, j \leq d$*

$$\tilde{\theta}_i(q,\zeta) - \tilde{\theta}_j(q,\zeta) = \frac{(q^j - q^i) Z_1(q,\zeta)}{(q-1)(q^{d-1}-1)(q^d-1)},$$

where

$$Z_1(q,\zeta) = \zeta(q^d-1)(q^{d-i-j}-1) + q(q^{d-1}-1)(q^{d-i-j-1}-1).$$

Lemma 8.2 *For $0 \leq i, j \leq d$*

$$\tilde{\theta}_i^*(q,\zeta) - \tilde{\theta}_j^*(q,\zeta) = \frac{(q^i - q^j) Z_2(q,\zeta)}{(q-1)(q^{d-1}-1)(q^d-1)},$$

where

$$Z_2(q,\zeta) = \zeta(q^d-1)(q^{d-i-j}-1) - (q^{d-1}+1)(q^{d-i-j+1}+1) + 2q^{d-i-j}(q^{i+j}+1).$$

Lemma 8.3 *For $0 \leq i < j \leq d$ the following hold:*

(i) $\tilde{\theta}_i(q,\zeta) = \tilde{\theta}_j(q,\zeta)$ *holds for only one value of* ζ.

(ii) $\tilde{\theta}^*_i(q,\zeta) = \tilde{\theta}^*_j(q,\zeta)$ *holds for only one value of* ζ.

Proof. (i): Observe by Lemma 8.1 that $\tilde{\theta}_i(q,\zeta) = \tilde{\theta}_j(q,\zeta)$ if and only if $Z_1(q,\zeta) = 0$. First assume $q^{d-i-j} - 1 = 0$. Then

$$Z_1(q,\zeta) = (1-q)(q^{d-1}-1) \neq 0.$$

Next assume $q^{d-i-j} - 1 \neq 0$. Then $Z_1(q,\zeta)$ is a polynomial in ζ with degree 1. So $Z_1(q,\zeta) = 0$ holds for only one value of ζ. The result follows.

(ii): Similar to the proof of (i). □

The following two lemmas can be routinely verified.

Lemma 8.4 *For $1 \leq i \leq d$*

$$\tilde{\varphi}_i(q,\zeta) = -\frac{(q^i-1)(q^{d-i+1}-1)\, Z_3(q,\zeta)}{(q-1)^2(q^{d-1}-1)^2(q^d-1)^2},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Z_3(q,\zeta) = \zeta^2 (q^d-1)^2(q^{i-1}-1)(q^{d-i}-1) \\ - \zeta(q-1)(q^d-1)(q^{d-1}+1)(q^{i-1}-1)(q^{d-i}-1) \\ - (q^{d-1}-1)(q^i-1)\big((q^{d-1}+1)(q^{d-i+1}+1) - 2q^{d-i}(q^i+1)\big). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 8.5 *For $1 \leq i \leq d$*

$$\tilde{\phi}_i(q,\zeta) = -\frac{(q^i-1)(q^{d-i+1}-1)\, Z_4(q,\zeta)}{(q-1)^2(q^{d-1}-1)^2(q^d-1)^2},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Z_4(q,\zeta) = \zeta^2 (q^d-1)^2(q^{i-1}-1)(q^{d-i}-1) \\ - \zeta(q-1)(q^d-1)\big((q^{d-i}-1)(q^{d+i-2}-1) - q^{d-i}(q^{i-1}-1)^2\big) \\ - (q^{d-1}-1)(q^{i-1}-1)\big((q^{d-1}+1)(q^{d-i+2}+1) - 2q^{d-i+1}(q^{i-1}+1)\big). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 8.6 *For $1 \leq i \leq d$ the following hold:*

(i) $\tilde{\varphi}_i(q,\zeta) = 0$ *holds for at most two values of* ζ.

(ii) $\tilde{\phi}_i(q,\zeta) = 0$ *holds for at most two values of* ζ.

Proof. (i): Observe by Lemma 8.4 that $\tilde{\varphi}_i(q,\zeta) = 0$ if and only if $Z_3(q,\zeta) = 0$. First assume $i = 1$. Then

$$Z_3(q,\zeta) = (1-q)(q^{d-1}-1)^2(q^d-1) \neq 0.$$

Next assume $i = d$. Then

$$Z_3(q,\zeta) = (q-1)(q^{d-1}-1)^2(q^d-1) \neq 0.$$

Next assume $i \neq 1$ and $i \neq d$. Then $Z_3(q,\zeta)$ is a quadratic polynomial in ζ. So $Z_3(q,\zeta) = 0$ holds for at most two values of ζ.

(ii): Observe by Lemma 8.5 that $\tilde{\phi}_i(q,\zeta) = 0$ if and only if $Z_4(q,\zeta) = 0$. First assume $i = 1$. Then

$$Z_4(q,\zeta) = \zeta(1-q)(q^{d-1}-1)^2(q^d-1).$$

So $Z_4(q,\zeta) \neq 0$ unless $\zeta = 0$. Next assume $i = d$. Then

$$Z_4(q,\zeta) = (q-1)(q^{d-1}-1)^2\big(\zeta(q^d-1) - (q-1)(q^{d-1}+1)\big).$$

So $Z_4(q,\zeta) = 0$ for only one value of ζ. Next assume $i \neq 1$ and $i \neq d$. Then $Z_4(q,\zeta)$ is a quadratic polynomial in ζ. So $Z_4(q,\zeta) = 0$ for at most two values of ζ. □

Proof of Theorem 1.15. By Lemma 5.8 there are up to inverse precisely $\lfloor (d-1)/2 \rfloor$ nonzero roots of $f_\omega(x) = 0$ other than ± 1. Write these roots as $q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_n$, where $n = \lfloor (d-1)/2 \rfloor$. For $1 \leq r \leq n$, by Lemmas 8.3 and 8.6 there are only finitely many ζ such that $\tilde{p}(q_r,\zeta)$ conflicts (8) or (9). Thus there exists $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $\tilde{p}(q_r,\zeta)$ satisfies both (8) and (9) for $1 \leq r \leq n$. Then by Proposition 7.7, for $1 \leq r \leq n$ the sequence $\tilde{p}(q_r,\zeta)$ is a parameter array over $\mathbb{F}$ that satisfy

$$\tilde{\theta}_0(q_r,\zeta) = \theta_0, \qquad \tilde{\theta}_d(q_r,\zeta) = \theta_d, \qquad \tilde{\theta}^*_0(q_r,\zeta) = \theta^*_0, \qquad \tilde{\theta}^*_d(q_r,\zeta) = \theta^*_d,$$
$$\tilde{\varphi}_1(q_r,\zeta) = \varphi_1, \qquad \tilde{\varphi}_d(q_r,\zeta) = \varphi_d, \qquad \tilde{\phi}_1(q_r,\zeta) = \phi_1, \qquad \tilde{\phi}_d(q_r,\zeta) = \phi_d.$$

Now the result follows by Lemma 1.6. □

9 Appendix

Fix an integer $d \geq 3$. Let (3) be a parameter array over $\mathbb{F}$ with fundamental parameter β. Pick a nonzero $q \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $\beta = q + q^{-1}$. In this appendix, we display formulas that represent φ_i and ϕ_i in terms of the end-parameters and q.

Assume (3) has type I. Then for $1 \leq i \leq d$

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_i = &- \frac{q^{i-1}(q^i-1)(q^{d-i}-1)(q^{d-i+1}-1)(q^{2d-i-1}-1)(\theta_0-\theta_d)(\theta^*_0-\theta^*_d)}{(q^{d-1}-1)^2(q^d-1)^2} \\
&+ \frac{(q^i-1)(q^{d-i+1}-1)\big((q^{i-1}-1)(q^{2d-i-1}-1)\varphi_d + q^{i-1}(q^{d-i}-1)^2(\phi_1+\phi_d-\varphi_d)\big)}{(q-1)(q^{d-1}-1)^2(q^d-1)} \\
&+ \frac{(q^{i-1}-1)(q^i-1)(q^{d-i}-1)(q^{d-i+1}-1)(\phi_1-\varphi_d)(\phi_d-\varphi_d)}{(q-1)^2(q^{d-1}-1)^2(\theta_0-\theta_d)(\theta^*_0-\theta^*_d)}, \\
\phi_i = &\frac{q^{i-1}(q^i-1)(q^{d-i}-1)(q^{d-i+1}-1)(q^{2d-i-1}-1)(\theta_0-\theta_d)(\theta^*_0-\theta^*_d)}{(q^{d-1}-1)^2(q^d-1)^2} \\
&+ \frac{(q^i-1)(q^{d-i+1}-1)\big((q^{i-1}-1)(q^{2d-i-1}-1)\phi_d + q^{i-1}(q^{d-i}-1)^2(\varphi_1+\varphi_d-\phi_d)\big)}{(q-1)(q^{d-1}-1)^2(q^d-1)} \\
&- \frac{(q^{i-1}-1)(q^i-1)(q^{d-i}-1)(q^{d-i+1}-1)(\varphi_1-\phi_d)(\varphi_d-\phi_d)}{(q-1)^2(q^{d-1}-1)^2(\theta_0-\theta_d)(\theta^*_0-\theta^*_d)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Assume (3) has type II. Then for $1 \leq i \leq d$

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_i =& -\frac{i(d-i)(d-i+1)(2d-i-1)(\theta_0-\theta_d)(\theta_0^*-\theta_d^*)}{d^2(d-1)^2}\\ &+\frac{i(d-i+1)\big((i-1)(2d-i-1)\varphi_d+(d-i)^2(\phi_1+\phi_d-\varphi_d)\big)}{d(d-1)^2}\\ &+\frac{i(i-1)(d-i)(d-i+1)(\phi_1-\varphi_d)(\phi_d-\varphi_d)}{(d-1)^2(\theta_0-\theta_d)(\theta_0^*-\theta_d^*)},\\ \phi_i =& \frac{i(d-i)(d-i+1)(2d-i-1)(\theta_0-\theta_d)(\theta_0^*-\theta_d^*)}{d^2(d-1)^2}\\ &+\frac{i(d-i+1)\big((i-1)(2d-i-1)\phi_d+(d-i)^2(\varphi_1+\varphi_d-\phi_d)\big)}{d(d-1)^2}\\ &-\frac{i(i-1)(d-i)(d-i+1)(\varphi_1-\phi_d)(\varphi_d-\phi_d)}{(d-1)^2(\theta_0-\theta_d)(\theta_0^*-\theta_d^*)}.\end{aligned}$$

Assume (3) has type III$^+$. Then for $1 \leq i \leq d$

$$\varphi_i = \begin{cases}\dfrac{i\big(d\varphi_d+(d-i)(\theta_0-\theta_d)(\theta_0^*-\theta_d^*)\big)}{d^2} & \text{if } i \text{ is even},\\ \dfrac{(d-i+1)\big(d(\phi_1+\phi_d-\varphi_d)-(2d-i-1)(\theta_0-\theta_d)(\theta_0^*-\theta_d^*)\big)}{d^2} & \text{if } i \text{ is odd},\end{cases}$$

$$\phi_i = \begin{cases}\dfrac{i\big(d\phi_d-(d-i)(\theta_0-\theta_d)(\theta_0^*-\theta_d^*)\big)}{d^2} & \text{if } i \text{ is even},\\ \dfrac{(d-i+1)\big(d(\varphi_1+\varphi_d-\phi_d)+(2d-i-1)(\theta_0-\theta_d)(\theta_0^*-\theta_d^*)\big)}{d^2} & \text{if } i \text{ is odd}.\end{cases}$$

Assume (3) has type III$^-$. Then for $1 \leq i \leq d$ the following hold.

If i is even,

$$\varphi_i = \frac{i(d-i+1)\big(\phi_1-\varphi_d-(\theta_0-\theta_d)(\theta_0^*-\theta_d^*)\big)\big(\phi_d-\varphi_d-(\theta_0-\theta_d)(\theta_0^*-\theta_d^*)\big)}{(d-1)^2(\theta_0-\theta_d)(\theta_0^*-\theta_d^*)},$$

and if i is odd,

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_i =& -\frac{(d-i)(2d-i-1)(\theta_0-\theta_d)(\theta_0^*-\theta_d^*)}{(d-1)^2}\\ &+\frac{(i-1)(2d-i-1)\varphi_d+(d-i)^2(\phi_1+\phi_d-\varphi_d)}{(d-1)^2}\\ &+\frac{(i-1)(d-i)(\phi_1-\varphi_d)(\phi_d-\varphi_d)}{(d-1)^2(\theta_0-\theta_d)(\theta_0^*-\theta_d^*)}.\end{aligned}$$

If i is even,

$$\phi_i = -\frac{i(d-i+1)\big(\varphi_1-\phi_d+(\theta_0-\theta_d)(\theta_0^*-\theta_d^*)\big)\big(\varphi_d-\phi_d+(\theta_0-\theta_d)(\theta_0^*-\theta_d^*)\big)}{(d-1)^2(\theta_0-\theta_d)(\theta_0^*-\theta_d^*)},$$

and if i is odd,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_i = &\frac{(d-i)(2d-i-1)(\theta_0-\theta_d)(\theta_0^*-\theta_d^*)}{(d-1)^2}\\ &+\frac{(i-1)(2d-i-1)\phi_d+(d-i)^2(\varphi_1+\varphi_d-\phi_d)}{(d-1)^2}\\ &-\frac{(i-1)(d-i)(\varphi_1-\phi_d)(\varphi_d-\phi_d)}{(d-1)^2(\theta_0-\theta_d)(\theta_0^*-\theta_d^*)}.\end{aligned}$$

Assume (3) has type IV. Then

$$\varphi_2 = \frac{\big(\phi_1-\varphi_1+(\theta_0-\theta_3)(\theta_0^*-\theta_3^*)\big)\big(\phi_1-\varphi_3+(\theta_0-\theta_3)(\theta_0^*-\theta_3^*)\big)}{(\theta_0-\theta_3)(\theta_0^*-\theta_3^*)},$$

$$\phi_2 = \frac{\big(\varphi_1-\phi_1+(\theta_0-\theta_3)(\theta_0^*-\theta_3^*)\big)\big(\varphi_1-\phi_3+(\theta_0-\theta_3)(\theta_0^*-\theta_3^*)\big)}{(\theta_0-\theta_3)(\theta_0^*-\theta_3^*)}.$$

10 Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Paul Terwilliger for giving this paper a close reading and offering many valuable suggestions.

References

[1] S. Lang, Algebra, Graduate Texts in Math., 211, Springer, 2002.

[2] K. Nomura, P. Terwilliger, Some trace formulae involving the split sequences of a Leonard pair, Linear Algebra Appl. 413 (2006) 189–201; `arXiv:math/0508407`.

[3] K. Nomura, P. Terwilliger, Balanced Leonard pairs, Linear Algebra Appl. 420 (2007) 51-69; `arXiv:math/0506219`.

[4] K. Nomura, P. Terwilliger, Affine transformations of a Leonard pair, Electron. J. of Linear Algebra 16 (2007) 389-418; `arXiv:math/0611783`.

[5] P. Terwilliger, Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other, Linear Algebra Appl. 330 (2001) 149–203; `arXiv:math/0406555`.

[6] P. Terwilliger, Leonard pairs from 24 points of view, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 32 (2002) 827–888; `arXiv:math/0406577`.

[7] P. Terwilliger, Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other; comments on the parameter array, Des. Codes Cryptogr. 34 (2005) 307–332; `arXiv:math/0306291`.

[8] P. Terwilliger, An algebraic approach to the Askey scheme of orthogonal polynomials, Orthogonal polynomials and special functions, Lecture Notes in Math., 1883, Springer, Berlin, 2006, pp. 255–330; arXiv:math/0408390.

Kazumasa Nomura
Tokyo Medical and Dental University
Kohnodai, Ichikawa, 272-0827 Japan
email: knomura@pop11.odn.ne.jp

Keywords. Leonard pair, tridiagonal pair, tridiagonal matrix.
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 05E35, 05E30, 33C45, 33D45